

From: Rick Dyer
Sent: Monday, January 11, 2010 11:05 AM
To: LoDico, Charles P. (SAMHSA/CSAP)
Subject: Proposed changes

Dear Mr. Director,

Well here we go again with changes the Government has not thought out. We do this for a living and you do it for a job under a guise of knowing what you're doing. The changes you propose should be handled in the following manor:

1. Regarding standardization and sample integrity. Why not create a third label on the CCF for the **sole purpose of forwarding the sample?** From an "integrity of the process" approach the idea of leaving the standard as "the remaining specimen will be resealed using tamper evident tape" seems too ambiguous and leaves the door open in the areas of donor protection and litigation potential.
2. Your changes of the proposed CCF do not include a verification check box of the donor's ID. There **should be an active check by the collector** showing that he/she verified that the donor is who he or she says they are.
3. Regarding Step 1 of the CCF, will the DER and or TPA be allowed to ask the lab to pre-mark the Agency Box (1D) when printing the forms?

Again the system is and has been working fine. That is until you get your hands on it. All of us would rather work with you. I suggest you attend one of our conventions at DATIA or SAPP. Discuss the matter there and work with us to resolution to all concerned.

Sincerely

Rick Dyer